

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERC
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/989,735	11/19/2001	Avi J. Ashkenazi	P2730P1C61	2513
35489 75	590 05/06/2004		EXAMINER	
HELLER EH	RMAN WHITE & MCA	LANDSMAN, ROBERT S		
275 MIDDLEF MENLO PARK	TIELD ROAD K, CO 94025-3506	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
11121120 11111	2, 00 , 1020 2000		1647	
		DATE MAILED: 05/06/200-	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	09/989,735	ASHKENAZI ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Robert Landsman	1647		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status  1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	36(a). In no event, however, may a within the statutory minimum of thin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOh, cause the application to become Al date of this communication, even if action is non-final.	reply be timely filed  ty (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  timely filed, may reduce any  ters, prosecution as to the merits is		
Disposition of Claims				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>119-138</u> is/are pending in the applicat	ion.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) <u>119-138</u> is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.			
Application Papers				
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r. ·			
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>19 November 2001</u> is/ar	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊑	objected to by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the c	drawing(s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction	on is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. §	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents</li> </ol>	s have been received.			
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No				
<ol><li>Copies of the certified copies of the priori</li></ol>	ity documents have been	received in this National Stage		
application from the International Bureau	` ',			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not	received.		
Attachment(s)				
) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date		
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>5/24/02</u> .	5) 🔲 Notice of Ir	Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  uence Comparisons A+B		
5. Patent and Trademark Office FOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Office Act	tion Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 050404		

Art Unit: 1647

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### 1. Formal Matters

- A. The Preliminary Amendment dated 11/19/01, has been entered into the record.
- B. The Preliminary Amendment dated 9/3/01, has been entered into the record.
- C. Claims 28-40 are pending and are the subject of this Office Action.

# 2. Priority

Due to the excessive number of applications from which the present application claims benefit, priority cannot be determined. However, the Examiner has concluded that the subject matter defined in this application is not supported by any of the applications in the chain of priority because the presently claimed subject matter is not supported by a specific, substantial or well-established utility, nor, for this reason, is it enabled. Accordingly, the subject matter defined in claims 119-138 has an effective filing date of 11/19/01, which is the filing date of the present application.

Should the applicant disagree with the examiner's factual determination above, it is incumbent upon the applicant to provide the serial number and specific page number(s) of any parent application filed prior to 11/19/01 which specifically supports the particular claim limitation for each and every claim limitation in all the pending claims which applicant considers to have been in possession of and fully enabled for prior to 11/19/01.

#### 3. Information Disclosure Statement

A. References A1 and A2 on the IDS dated 5/24/02 have been lined through since they are not in proper format, including author and accession number.

# 4. Specification

- A. Though none could be found, due to the length of the specification, Applicants are reminded that embedded hyperlink and/or other form of browser-executable code are not permitted in the specification. See MPEP § 608.01.
- B. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed. The title recites polypeptides and polynucleotides whereas the claims are drawn to polynucleotides.

Art Unit: 1647

C. The specification is objected to since the status of application 09/380,137 should be updated to "now abandoned."

# 5. Claim Objections

A. The syntax of claims 119-138 could be improved by replacing the phrase "shown in Figure 240 (SEQ ID NO:345)" with "of SEQ ID NO:345" and "shown in Figure 239 (SEQ ID NO:344)" with "of SEQ ID NO:344" where appropriate.

# 6. Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112, first paragraph - enablement

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

A. Claims 119-138 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

The deposit of the biological material is considered necessary for the enablement of the current invention (see MPEP Chapter 2400 and 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.801-1.809). Elements required for practicing a claimed invention must be known and readily available to the public or obtainable by a repeatable method set forth in the specification. If a deposit (209976) is made under the terms of the Budapest Treaty on the International Recognition of the Deposit of Microorganisms for the Purposes of Patent Procedure (e.g. see 961 OG 21, 1977), and Applicants, their assignee or their agent needs to provide a declaration containing the following:

- 1. the current address of the ATCC.
- a declaration, or statement over attorney's signature stating that all restrictions imposed by the depositor on the availability to the public of the deposited biological material be irrevocably removed upon the granting of the patent (see MPEP Chapter 2410.01 and 37 C.F.R. § 1.808.
- C. Furthermore, even if a deposit under the Budapest Treaty were made, claims 119-138 would still be rejected under 35 USC 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while then being enabling for SEQ ID NO:344 and 345, does not reasonably provide enablement for polynucleotides or polypeptides having at least 80%, 85%, 90%, 95% or 99% sequence identity to SEQ ID NO:344 or 345, to the protein encoded by ATCC No. 209976, for the extracellular domain thereof, or for vectors and host cells

Art Unit: 1647

containing these polynucleotides. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make the invention commensurate in scope with these claims. There is no functional limitation in the claims. The claims encompass an unreasonable number of inoperative polypeptides, or polynucleotides which encode these polypeptides, which the skilled artisan would not know how to use.

There are no working examples of polynucleotides or polypeptides less than 100% identical to SEQ ID NO:344 or 345, or the mature form thereof (i.e. lacking its signal peptide). The skilled artisan would not know how to use non-identical polypeptides or polynucleotides on the basis of teachings in the prior art or specification unless they possessed a specific function disclosed in the instant specification, in which there is none. While the specification generally describes homologous proteins, Applicants still have not taught to which family of proteins the protein of the present invention belongs. The specification does not provide guidance for using polynucleotides encoding polypeptides related to (i.e., 80%-99% identity) but not identical to SEQ ID NO:344 or 345 which do not have any specific, known function. The claims are broad because they do not require the claimed polypeptide to be identical to the disclosed sequence and because the claims have no functional limitation.

For these reasons, which include the complexity and unpredictability of the nature of the invention and art in terms of the diversity of proteins and lack of knowledge about function(s) of encompassed polypeptides structurally related to SEQ ID NO:345, or their encoding polynucleotides (e.g. SEQ ID NO:344) the lack of direction or guidance for using polypeptides that are not identical to SEQ ID NO:345, and the breadth of the claims for structure without function, it would require undue experimentation to use the invention commensurate in scope with the claims.

# 7. Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112, first paragraph - written description

A. Claims 119-138 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

The claims are drawn to polynucleotides having at least 80%, 85%, 90%, 95% or 99% sequence identity with SEQ ID NO:344 as well as vectors and host cells. The claims do not require that the polynucleotides or encoded polypeptides of the present invention possess any particular biological activity, nor any particular conserved structure, or other disclosed distinguishing feature. Thus, the claims are drawn to a genus of polypeptides that is defined only by sequence identity.

Art Unit: 1647

To provide adequate written description and evidence of possession of a claimed genus, the specification must provide sufficient distinguishing identifying characteristics of the genus. The factors to be considered include disclosure of compete or partial structure, physical and/or chemical properties, functional characteristics, structure/function correlation, methods of making the claimed product, or any combination thereof. In this case, the only factor present in the claim is a partial structure in the form of a recitation of percent identity. There is not even identification of any particular portion of the structure that must be conserved. Accordingly, in the absence of sufficient recitation of distinguishing identifying characteristics, the specification does not provide adequate written description of the claimed genus.

Vas-Cath Inc. v. Mahurkar, 19USPQ2d 1111, clearly states "applicant must convey with reasonable clarity to those skilled in the art that, as of the filing date sought, he or she was in possession of the invention. The invention is, for purposes of the 'written description' inquiry, whatever is now claimed." (See page 1117.) The specification does not "clearly allow persons of ordinary skill in the art to recognize that [he or she] invented what is claimed." (See Vas-Cath at page 1116). As discussed above, the skilled artisan cannot envision the detailed chemical structure of the encompassed genus of polypeptides, and therefore conception is not achieved until reduction to practice has occurred, regardless of the complexity or simplicity of the method of isolation. Adequate written description requires more than a mere statement that it is part of the invention and reference to a potential method of isolating it. The compound itself is required. See Fiers v. Revel, 25 USPQ2d 1601 at 1606 (CAFC 1993) and Amgen Inc. v. Chugai Pharmaceutical Co. Ltd., 18 USPQ2d 1016.

One cannot describe what one has not conceived. See *Fiddes v. Baird*, 30 USPQ2d 1481 at 1483. In *Fiddes*, claims directed to mammalian FGF's were found to be unpatentable due to lack of written description for that broad class. The specification provided only the bovine sequence.

Therefore, only isolated polypeptides comprising the amino acid sequence set forth in SEQ ID NO:345, or encoded by SEQ ID NO:344, but not the full breadth of the claims, meets the written description provision of 35 U.S.C. §112, first paragraph. Applicant is reminded that *Vas-Cath* makes clear that the written description provision of 35 U.S.C. §112 is severable from its enablement provision (see page 1115).

Art Unit: 1647

# 8. Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112, second paragraph

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 119-138 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

- A. Claims 119-138 are vague and indefinite since it is not clear whether or not the protein encoded by the polynucleotide of the present invention is a soluble protein (e.g protease), nor is it disclosed as being expressed on a cell surface. Accordingly, the limitation that the claimed protein comprises an "extracellular domain" is indefinite, as the art does not recognize soluble proteins as having such domains. Further, if the protein had an extracellular domain, the recitation of "the extracellular domain"..."lacking its associated signal sequence" is indefinite as a signal sequence is not generally considered to be part of an extracellular domain, as signal sequences are cleaved from said domains in the process of secretion from the cell.
- B. Claims 132-134 are vague and indefinite since the claim recites "hybridizes" without the recitation of any conditions, or recites "stringent conditions: wherein these conditions are not known. Nucleic acid molecules which hybridize under conditions of "low" stringency would not necessarily hybridize under conditions of "high" stringency. Furthermore, not all conditions of "high" or "low" stringency, for example, are the same. Therefore, it is required that Applicants amend the claims to recite the exact hybridization conditions without using indefinite phrases such as "for example" without adding new matter.

Art Unit: 1647

# 9. Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

A. Claims 119-138 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ni et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,566,478). The claims recite a polynucleotide at least 80% identical to that of SEQ ID NO:344 or encoding SEQ ID NO:345, as well as fragments (e.g. extracellular domains, with and without signal sequences) thereof. The amino acids encoding the extracellular domain of this protein are not known. The claims also recite nucleic acid molecules which hybridize to SEQ ID NO:344, or one encoding SEQ ID NO:345 as well as vectors and host cells. Ni teach a polynucleotide which is 51% identical to SEQ ID NO:344 (Sequence Comparison A) and which encodes the polypeptide which is 89% identical to SEQ ID NO:345 (Sequence Comparison B) as well as vectors and host cells (Examples 1, 5, 7 and 8). This nucleic acid molecule will hybridize to that of the present invention even under the most stringent conditions.

#### 11. Conclusion

A. No claim is allowable.

# Advisory information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert Landsman whose telephone number is (703) 306-3407. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 8:00 AM to 5:00 PM (Eastern time) and alternate Fridays from 8:00 AM to 5:00 PM (Eastern time).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Gary Kunz, can be reached on (703) 308-4623.

Official papers filed by fax should be directed to (703) 308-4242. Fax draft or informal communications with the examiner should be directed to (703) 308-0294.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Robert Landsman, Ph.D. Patent Examiner Group 1600 May 5, 2004

PATENT EXAMINER

```
; Sequence 11, Application US/09244111
; Patent No. 6566498
; GENERAL INFORMATION:
  APPLICANT: Ni, et al.
  TITLE OF INVENTION: Human Serine Protease and Serpin Polypeptides
  FILE REFERENCE: PF391
  CURRENT APPLICATION NUMBER: US/09/244,111
  CURRENT FILING DATE: 1999-02-04
  EARLIER APPLICATION NUMBER: 60/073,961
  EARLIER FILING DATE: 1998-02-06
  NUMBER OF SEQ ID NOS: 13
  SOFTWARE: PatentIn Ver. 2.0
 SEO ID NO 11
   LENGTH: 478
   TYPE: DNA
   ORGANISM: Homo sapiens
   FEATURE:
   NAME/KEY: CDS
   LOCATION: (19)..(249)
US-09-244-111-11
                     51.1%; Score 389.6; DB 4; Length 478;
 Query Match
 Best Local Similarity 96.6%; Pred. No. 4e-117;
 Matches 452; Conservative
                         0; Mismatches
                                           Indels
         1 CAACATGGGGTCCAGCAGCTTCTTGGTCCTCATGGTGTCTCTCGTTCTTGTGACCCTGGT 60
Qу
           Db
        15 CAACATGGGGTCCAGCAGCTTCTTGGTCCTCATGGTGTCTCTCGTTCTTGTGACCCTGGT 74
        61 GGCTGTGGAAGGAGTTAAAGAGGGTATAGAGAAAGCAGGGGTTTGCCCAGCTGACAACGT 120
Qy
           75 GGCTGTGGAAGGAGTTAAAGAGGGTATAGAGAAAGCAGGGGTTTGCCCAGCTGACAACGT 134
Db
Qy
        121 ACGCTGCTTCAAGTCCGATCCTCCCCAGTGTCACACAGACCAGGACTGTCTGGGGGAAAG 180
           Db
        135 ACGCTGCTTCAAGTCCGATCCTCCCCAGTGTCACACAGACCAGGACTGTCTGGGGGGAAAG 194
Qу
        181 GAAGTGTTGTTACCTGCACTGTGGCTTCAAGTGTGTGATTCCTGTGAAGGAACTGGAAGA 240
           195 GAAGTGTTGTTACCTGCACTGTGGCTTCAAGTGTGTGATTCCTGTGAAG--AACTGAAGA 252
Db
        241 AGGAGGAAACAAGGATGAAGATGTGTCAAGGCCATACCCTGAGCCAGGATGGG-AGGCCA 299
Qу
           Db
        253 AGGAGGAAACAAGGATGAAGATGTCCAAGGCCATACCCTGAGCCAGGATGGGAAGGCCA 312
        300 AGTGTCCAGGCTCCTCT--ACCAGGTGTCCTCAGAAATGATGCTGGGTCCTTTCTAC 357
Qy
           313 AGTGTCCAGGCTCCTCTACACCAGGTGTCCTCAGAAATGATGCTGGGTCCTTTCTAC 372
Db
        358 CTCTGGGGGTCACTCTCACTTGGCACCTGCCCCTGAGGGTCCTGAGACTTGGAATATGGA 417
Qy
           Db
        373 CTCTGGGGGTCA-TCTCACTTGGCACCTGCCCCTGA-GGTCCTGAGACTTGGAATATGGA 430
        418 AGAAGCAATACCCAACCCCACCAAAGAAAACCTGAGCTTGAAGTCCTT 465
Qy
           431 AGAAGCAATACCCAACCCCACCAAAGAAAACCTGAGCTGAAGTCCTTT 478
Db
```

```
PAT 17-AUG-2003
                               478 bp
                                       DNA
                                              linear
LOCUS
          AR337571
          Sequence 11 from patent US 6566498.
DEFINITION
          AR337571
ACCESSION
          AR337571.1 GI:33723966
VERSION
KEYWORDS
          Unknown.
SOURCE
          Unknown.
 ORGANISM
          Unclassified.
REFERENCE
          1 (bases 1 to 478)
 AUTHORS
          Ni, J. and Ruben, S.M.
 TITLE
          Human serine protease and serpin polypeptides
          Patent: US 6566498-A 11 20-MAY-2003;
 JOURNAL
FEATURES
                 Location/Qualifiers
                 1. .478
    source
                  /organism="unknown"
                  /mol type="genomic DNA"
ORIGIN
Alignment Scores:
Pred. No.:
                    1.86e-46
                                Length:
                                             478
Score:
                    548.50
                                Matches:
                                             109
Percent Similarity:
                    95.61%
                                Conservative:
Best Local Similarity:
                    95.61%
                                Mismatches:
                                             2
Query Match:
                    89.19%
                                Indels:
                                             4
DB:
                                             0
                    6
                                Gaps:
US-09-989-735-345 (1-111) x AR337571 (1-478)
          1 MetGlySerSerPheLeuValLeuMetValSerLeuValLeuValThrLeuValAla 20
Qу
            Dh
         19 ATGGGGTCCAGCAGCTTCTTGGTCCTCATGGTGTCTCTCGTTCTTGTGACCCTGGTGGCT 78
         21 ValGluGlyValLysGluGlyIleGluLysAlaGlyValCysProAlaAspAsnValArg 40
Qу
            79 GTGGAAGGAGTTAAAGAGGGTATAGAGAAAGCAGGGGTTTGCCCAGCTGACAACGTACGC 138
Db
         41 CysPheLysSerAspProProGlnCysHisThrAspGlnAspCysLeuGlyGluArgLys 60
Qy
            139 TGCTTCAAGTCCGATCCTCCCAGTGTCACACAGACCAGGACTGTCTGGGGGAAAGGAAG 198
Db
Qy
         61 CysCysTyrLeuHisCysGlyPheLysCysValIleProValLysGluLeuGluGluGly 80
            Db
        199 TGTTGTTACCTGCACTGTGGCTTCAAGTGTGTGATTCCTGTGAAGAACT--GAAGAAGGA 256
         81 GlyAsnLysAspGluAspValSerArgProTyrProGluProGlyTrpGlu-AlaLysCy 100
Qу
           257 GGAAACAAGGATGAAGATGTGTCAAGGCCATACCCTGAGCCAGGATGGGAAGGCCAAGTG 316
Db
        100 sProGlySerSerSer--ThrArgCysProGlnLys 111
Qу
           Db
        317 TCCAGGCTCCTCCTCTACACCAGGTGTCCTCAGAAA 352
```